

RESPONSE

Claim 61 was rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,981. A Terminal Disclaimer was filed on October 25, 2007 which, Applicants submit, was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) and thereby obviates the Examiner's double patenting rejection of pending claim 61 in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,981. Further, claims 62-68 were only objected to by the Examiner due to the rejection of claim 61 upon which they depend, either directly or indirectly. As such, these claims are also believed to be in allowable condition.